## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/622,117	07/18/2003	Richard A. Schumacher	MEMORY-29	1640	
24980	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER		
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, PC			POWERS	POWERS, FIONA	
SUITE 1400	ENDON BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	INGTON, VA 22201		1626		
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/622,117	SCHUMACHER ET AL.			
	omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Fiona T. Powers	1626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	•—	action is non-final.	- <del>-</del>			
ا_ا(د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-9,13,14,16-26,28-30 and 33-35 is/ar  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) 1-9,13,14,16-26,28,36-47 and 52 is/ar  Claim(s) 29,30,33-35,48-51 and 53-55 is/are re  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	vn from consideration. re allowed. rejected. relection requirement. r. repted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendments filed February 15, 2006 and February 24, 2006, which have been entered in the file.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 20, 30, 33 to 35, 48 to 51 and 53 to 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for enhancing cognition and a method for the treatment of inflammation and inflammation due to asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method for treating psychosis, allergic or inflammatory disease, neurodegeneration, drug addiction or morphine dependence. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph are as follows:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,

Art Unit: 1626

- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of skill in the art.

See In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400.

The nature of the invention is a method for treating psychosis, an allergic or inflammatory disease, neurodegeneration resulting from a disease or injury, drug addiction or morphine dependence.

The state of the prior art is that the pharmacological art involves screening in vitro and in vivo to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activities (i.e. what compounds can treat which specific diseases and by what mechanism). There is no absolute predictability even in view of the seemingly high level of skill in the art. The existence of these obstacles establishes that the contemporary knowledge in the art would prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting any therapeutic regimen on its face.

It is noted that the pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 18 indicates that the more unpredictable an area is, the more specific enablement is necessary in order to satisfy the statute.

Art Unit: 1626

Applicants are claiming a method for treating psychosis, an allergic or inflammatory disease, neurodegeneration resulting from a disease or injury, drug addiction or morphine dependence. Cancer is one type of inflammatory disease. The state of the prior art is that cancer therapy remains highly unpredictable. The various types of cancers have different causative agents, involve different cellular mechanisms, and consequently, differ in treatment protocol. It is known that the challenge of cancer treatment has been to target specific therapies to pathogenetically distinct tumor types, that cancer classification has been based on primarily on morphological appearance of the tumor and that tumors with similar histopathological appearance can follow significantly different clinical courses and show different responses to therapy (Golub et al. page 531). Furthermore, it is known that chemotherapy is most effective against tumors with rapidly dividing cells and that cells of solid tumors divide relatively slowly and chemotherapy is often less effective against them.

The only direction or guidance present in the instant specification is a description of an in vitro measurement of PDE4 inhibition and an in vivo test for learning and memory in rats. There is not data to describe what compounds were tested for PDE4 inhibition or how they performed on this test. There

Art Unit: 1626

are no working examples present for a method for treating psychosis, an allergic or inflammatory disease, neurodegeneration resulting from a disease or injury, drug addiction or morphine dependence.

The breadth of the claims is a method for treating psychosis, an allergic or inflammatory disease, neurodegeneration resulting from a disease or injury, drug addiction or morphine dependence.

The quantity of experimentation needed is undue experimentation. One of skill in the art would need to determine what diseases would be benefited (treated) by and would then have to determine which of the claimed compounds would provide treatment of which disease, if any.

The level of skill in the art is high. However, due to the unpredictability in the pharmaceutical art, it is noted that each embodiment of the invention is required to be individually assessed for physiological activity by in vitro and in vivo screening to determine which compounds exhibit the desired pharmacological activity and which diseases would benefit from this activity.

Thus, the specification fails to provide sufficient support of the broad use of the compound of the instant claims for a method for treating psychosis, an allergic or inflammatory

Art Unit: 1626

disease, neurodegeneration resulting from a disease or injury drug addiction or morphine dependence. As a result necessitating one of skill to perform an exhaustive search for which diseases can be treated by what compounds of the instant claims in order to practice the claimed invention.

Genetech Inc. v. Novo Nordisk A/S 42 USPQ2d 1001 states that "a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for search, but compensation for its successful conclusion" and "patent protection is granted in return for an enabling disclosure of an invention, not for vague intimations of general ideas that may or may not be workable".

Therefore, in view of the Wands factors and In re Fisher discussed above, to practice the claimed invention herein, one of skill in the art would have to engage in undue experimentation to test which diseases can be treated by the compound encompassed in the instant claims, with no assurance of success.

Applicant's arguments filed February 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants refer to numerous references to show that the art recognizes the use of PDE4 inhibitors in the types of methods recited in applicants' claims. However, the claimed compounds are not structurally similar to the compounds disclosed in the

Art Unit: 1626

references and thus would not necessarily have the same activity.

Claims 1 to 9, 13, 14, 16 to 26, 28, 36 to 47 and 52 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T.

Art Unit: 1626

Powers whose telephone number is 571-272-0702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fiona T. Powers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1626

Page 8

ftp May 11, 2006